

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 06-60104-CR-JORDAN (S)
18 U.S.C. § 38
18 U.S.C. § 2

2005 CCT - 3 PM 1:27


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

GEORGE FRANK MYLES JR.,

Defendant.

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges that:

COUNTS 1 - 14
(AIRCRAFT PARTS FRAUD - 18 U.S.C. § 38)

From on or about July 19, 2005, and continuing through on or about February 9, 2006, in Broward County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

GEORGE FRANK MYLES JR.,

did knowingly and with intent to defraud, make materially fraudulent representations concerning aircraft parts, in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce, in that the defendant, as a supplier of aircraft parts to the U.S. Military and others, made false representations to purchasers regarding the condition of the parts, as further set forth below:



COUNT	DATE(S)	PURCHASER	AIRCRAFT PART(S) TO BE PROVIDED	FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION
1	11/18/2005 through 11/28/2005	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA81221-06-M-K152	30 new and unused surplus Turbine Exhaust Strut Rods (Part number 470034)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Rods were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in either "as removed" (AR) or "Serviceable" (SV) condition.
2	9/26/2005 through 10/10/2005	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA8101-05-M-K642	25 new and unused surplus Turbine Rear Rods (Part number 470034)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Turbine Rear Rods were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in either "as removed" (AR) or "Serviceable" (SV) condition.
3	12/12/2005 through 12/15/2005	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA8122-06-M-K189	272 new and unused surplus Tie Rod Nuts (Part number 418906)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Tie Rod Nuts were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in either "as removed" (AR) or "Serviceable" (SV) condition.
	7/19/2005 through 7/20/2005	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA8101-05-M-K525	2 new and unused surplus Shaft Assemblies (Part number 69-10327-4)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Shaft Assemblies were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in "as removed" (AR) condition.

5	9/27/2005 through 11/21/2005	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA8101005-M-K648	15 new and unused surplus Gang Bolt Assemblies (Part number 433002)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Gang Bolt Assemblies were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in "as removed" (AR) condition.
6	11/22/2005 through 1/9/2006	Eternal Bright Enterprises, Limited	950 Vanes in "new surplus" condition (Part number 781253CL4)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that 300 of the Vanes were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in "repairable" (R) condition and signed on an FAA Form 8130 certifying the 300 Vanes were inspected and "new" (N), despite not being authorized by the FAA to conduct inspections.
7	11/28/2005 through 1/6/2006	Higher Source Aviation	86 Second Stage T-blades in "overhaul" (OH) condition (Part number 6898062)	Signed an FAA Form 8130 certifying the Blades as being in "overhauled" (OH) condition despite not being authorized by the FAA to certify blades.
8	1/19/2006 through 2/2/2006	Higher Source Aviation	400 Second Stage T-blades in "overhauled" (OH) condition (Part number 6898062)	Signed an FAA Form 8130 certifying 100 of the Blades as being in "overhauled" (OH) condition despite not being authorized by the FAA to certify blades and signed an ATA 106 certifying that 100 of the blades were in "overhauled" (OH) condition, having been overhauled last by Eagle, NDT.

9	12/2/2005 through 12/7/2005	Aero Davinci	1 Actuator in "overhauled" (OH) condition (Part number 35021502)	Signed an FAA Form 8130 certifying the Actuator as being in "overhauled" (OH) condition despite not being authorized by the FAA to conduct certifications and signed an ATA 106 certifying that the actuator was in "overhauled" (OH) condition.
10	1/24/2006 through 2/9/2006	Alamo Aircraft	38 Bolt Assemblies in "new surplus" (NS) condition (Part number 433002)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Bolt Assemblies were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in "as removed" (AR) condition.
11	12/9/2005 through 12/21/2005	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA8112-06-M-K183	18 Blades in "new surplus" (NS) condition (Part number 410028)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Blades were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in "overhauled" (OH) condition.
12	12/14/2005 through 1/12/2006	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA8112-06-M-K192	54 Blades in "new surplus" (NS) condition (Part number 410028)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Blades were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in "overhauled" (OH) condition.
13	12/22/2005 through 1/30/2006	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA8112-06-M-K215	7 Clamping Plates in "new surplus" (NS) condition (Part number 4000941)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Clamping Plates were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in "overhauled" (OH) condition.

14	1/13/2006 through 2/1/2006	U.S. Department of Defense Contract Number FA8112-06-M-K241	250 Tie Rod Nuts in "new surplus" (NS) condition (Part number 418906)	Certified on an ATA Form 106 that the Tie Rod Nuts were in "new surplus" (NS) condition when in fact they were in either "serviceable" (SV) or "as removed" (AR) condition.
----	-------------------------------	---	--	---

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 38(a)(1)(B), (b)(4), and 2.

A TRUE BILL.



FOREPERSON



R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY



MARC S. ANTON
SPECIAL ASSISTANT U. S. ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CASE NO. 06-60104-CR-Jordan(s)

vs.

GEORGE FRANK MYLES, JR.,
Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY*

Superseding Case Information:

Court Division: (Select One)

 Miami Key West
 FTL WPB FTPNew Defendant(s) Yes _____ No
Number of New Defendants _____Total number of counts 14

I do hereby certify that:

1. I have carefully considered the allegations of the indictment, the number of defendants, the number of probable witnesses and the legal complexities of the Indictment/Information attached hereto.
2. I am aware that the information supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. Section 3161

3. Interpreter: (Yes or No) NO
List language and/or dialect _____

4. This case will take 5 days to try

5. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below:
(Check only one)

I	0 to 5 days	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Petty	<input type="checkbox"/>
II	6 to 10 days	<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor	<input type="checkbox"/>
III	11 to 20 days	<input type="checkbox"/>	Misdem.	<input type="checkbox"/>
IV	21 to 60 days	<input type="checkbox"/>	Felony	<input type="checkbox"/>
V	61 days and over	<input type="checkbox"/>		

6. Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) YES

If yes:

Judge: Hon. Adalberto Jordan Case No. 06-60104-CR-Jordan

(Attach copy of dispositive order)

Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) YES

If yes:

Magistrate Case No. 06-6126-LSS

Related Miscellaneous numbers:

Defendant(s) in federal custody as of

Defendant(s) in state custody as of

Rule 20 from the _____

District of _____

Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes or No) NO7. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to April 1, 2003? Yes No 8. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the U. S. Attorney's Office prior to April 1, 1999? Yes No
If yes, was it pending in the Central Region? Yes No 9. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to October 14, 2003? Yes No 10. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Narcotics Section (Miami) prior to May 18, 2003? Yes No Marc AntonMARC S. ANTON
SPECIAL ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Florida Bar No. 0148369

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: GEORGE FRANK MYLES, JR.

Case No: 06-60104-CR-Jordan(s)

Counts #: 1-14

Aircraft Parts Fraud

in violation 18 U.S.C. § 38

* Max.Penalty: Ten (10) years' imprisonment, three (3) years' supervised release, \$250,000 fine

Count #:

* Max.Penalty:

Count #:

*Max. Penalty:

Count #:

*Max. Penalty:

*Refers only to possible term of incarceration, does not include possible fines, restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

No. 70001

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Southern District of Florida
Central Criminal Division

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
vs.

GEORGE FRANK MYLES, JR.

INDICTMENT
18 U.S.C. § 38

A true bill.

Foreperson

Filed in open court this _____ day,

of _____, A.D. 20 _____

Clerk

Bail. \$ _____